From:	Foti, James (Fed)
To:	Moody, Dustin (Fed); Chen, Lily (Fed); Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Subject:	RE: pqc webpage
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 3:13:35 PM

Riiiight—sorry, Andy gave me a heads-up about this recently. Vacation made me forget. All sounds good.

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:39 PM
To: Foti, James (Fed) <james.foti@nist.gov>; Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>; Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: pqc webpage

After 45 days, we will take the comments and feedback to help us generate a final version, which we will then post in November.

From: Foti, James (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:38 PM
To: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>; Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: pqc webpage

Hi All-
I would not consider a CFP as an SP or NISTIR—simply as a white paper. I would also suggest including "Draft" in the title and then only in the page header. Watermarks can be very distracting and make the text harder to read, and putting it in the header achieves the same purpose, I think.
So, this Draft is to be posted on CSRC until the FRN is released, when the "Draft" will be removed?
Jim

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:07 PM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Cc: Foti, James (Fed) <james.foti@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: pqc webpage

Let's wait Jim's advice. We do not have to make decision now,
Thanks,
Lily

From: "Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)" <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 at 12:03 PM
To: Lily Chen <lily.chen@nist.gov>, Dustin Moody <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Cc: "Foti, James (Fed)" <james.foti@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: pqc webpage

I'm not sure a CFP is an appropriate document for a SP or a NISTIR. Let's wait and get Jim's advice. I'm going to go back to my online training for a bit so may be slow to respond.
Sara

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 11:56 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>; Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Cc: Foti, James (Fed) <james.foti@nist.gov>

Subject: Re: pqc webpage

For SHA-3, everything was in FRN, so was AES. This is the first time, we separate announcement as an FRN and the requirements.

Lily

From: Dustin Moody <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 at 11:54 AM
To: Lily Chen <lily.chen@nist.gov>, "Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)" <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Cc: "Foti, James (Fed)" <james.foti@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: pqc webpage

For SHA-3 did the similar document become an SP?

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 11:53 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>; Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Cc: Foti, James (Fed) <james.foti@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: pqc webpage

What if we make it an SP?

Lily

From: Dustin Moody <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 at 11:33 AM
To: "Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)" <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Cc: Lily Chen <lily.chen@nist.gov>, "Foti, James (Fed)" <james.foti@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: pqc webpage

Yes, the CFP is not a NISTIR or SP. I'm fine with watermarking "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED" across each page, or using the header. At the meeting, the lawyers suggested using a watermark, and Matt said we'd just use our standard header, which they said was fine.

And yes, keeping the FAQ as html seems to make the best sense. Any new versions I will highlight the changes.

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 11:27 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>; Foti, James (Fed) <james.foti@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: pqc webpage

Dustin,

OK, once I have the latest CFP I can start populating the pages with the correct text. It shouldn't be a problem. I prefer to keep the FAQ page as HTML because embedding the anchor tags (to the various sections) each time a new PDF is generated is going to get cumbersome. If you could "track-changes" when you update the FAQ document, I think that would work best for me to know what changed and make the appropriate updates.

Added Jim to the distro for this:

Are you referring to the CFP being clearly marked as draft? It is not going to be a NISTIR or SP, right? Basically it's a paper, right? Could we use the "DRAFT" watermark across each page of the file? I can also include **– DRAFT –** at the top of each web page. (For Jim's info, I've attached the previous version of the CFP so he has an idea what we are talking about).

Thoughts?
Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 11:15 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Cc: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: pqc webpage

Sara,

So we just finished meeting with the lawyers, and made really good progress. Henry is going to send us the final text we need for the IPR section, and he already signed the FRN notice. Andy and Matt said that means the FRN will likely be published on Friday, so we need to have the webpage ready for Friday.

I will send you the final version of the main CFP document by the end of today, or tomorrow morning (Henry will send us his text this afternoon he said). Is there anything else you need? Oh – one more thing. They asked that we make sure and have "draft" or "proposed" clearly marked. Matt said that we'll use the standard header we usually do for our draft publications. Are you able to do that? Or do you have a word file where we did that? I think Jim has always done it for me after sending him my final version. Let me know. Thanks,

Dustin